## **Student Seminar Assessment**

Evaluator Name(will be removed before returning to speaker)	
Speaker	
Evaluation scher	me: Acceptable
	unacceptable ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ exemplary
	For each criterion, circle terms that factor the most in the numerical score assigned.
Presentation skills	
enhan	Ition (clear and expressive speech) clear voice with sufficient volume; precise pronunciation, voice modulation cing presentation, comfort with speaking, inappropriate vocalized pauses, too many "um, ers, like," mumbles, voice too ifficult to understand
Enth	usiasm Composure, eye contact, anxiety, nervous gestures, appropriate eye contact
Presentation Mec	hanics
	duction Draws audience with compelling question, appropriate for intelligent but under-informed audience, clear, e, too much text, confusing
Term	inology Technical terms explained well, jargon used expeditiously or does it interfere with understanding, confusing
_	underlying presentation There's a concise and logical progression of ideas & supporting information such that the station makes a persuasive argument, disorganized, hard to follow, too many tangents
	presentation Data and graphics easy to follow, data effectively depicted concepts such that audience can interpret data n to speaker simultaneously, too much text, too much information per slide, effective color scheme, typos
	tiveness of slides/Mechanics Slides reinforced & enhance presentation points, good balance of text, images, color e, legible fonts, grammar, spelling & typos
Time	usage Time used effectively throughout presentation, too long, too short, too much or too little time spend on a single
Research Proficier	ncy
	enter knowledge Fluent with supporting literature and methodology including complexities and nuances of research knowledgeable beyond topic, command of literature
_	of research paradigm and rationale Research addresses significant question, experimental paradigm appropriate, rational broadly across disciplines
	lusions Are logically drawn and incorporated into context of other work, conclusions inconsistently tied to information sed, implications and impact of research are identified and explained, new hypotheses/models proposed are justified
Hand	ling $\operatorname{Questions}$ Answered questions concisely and appropriately, audience can hear and understand both question and r
Explanation of eva	aluation/Comments/Recommendations
The hest part of th	ne presentation was:

The takeaway lesson from this presentation was